

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,449	07/29/2003	Akira Aikawa	000409-050	. 4851	
21839 7	7590 09/22/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P POST OFFICE BOX 1404			LORENCE, P	LORENCE, RICHARD M	
	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3681		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/628,449	AIKAWA ET AL.	91			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard M. Lorence	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J. This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/30/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

This is the first Office action on the merits of Application No. 10/628,449 filed on July 29, 2003. Claims 1-12 are currently pending.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes the legal jargon "means" in lines 2, 4-6 and 10. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 33 on page 1 "mutually" should read --mutual--. In line 8 on page 3 "sip" should read --slip--.

In line 28 on page 3 "prevented from going worse" is grammatically awkward. In line 35 on page 23 "has been sill small" is unclear. In the description of the second embodiment on pages 29-31 the "H control problem", "H controller" and "H norm" are not entirely understood. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 2 and 12 recite the limitation "the other shift stage" in lines 7, 9-10, and 7-8, respectively. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested that in each instance "the other" should be changed to - - another - -.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,449

Art Unit: 3681

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fujita et al. (EP 0565383 A1) which discloses an apparatus and method of controlling shift shock by controlling clutch pressure during gear shifts based upon slip using feedback control.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 11-15 of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,777 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each are directed to the same apparatus for controlling shift shock by controlling clutch pressure during gear shifts based upon slip using feedback control.

Claim 12 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,777

Application/Control Number: 10/628,449

Art Unit: 3681

B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because each is directed to the same method of controlling shift shock by controlling clutch pressure during gear shifts based upon slip using feedback control.

Page 5

Prior Art Citation

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art cited by applicant which is listed on the form PTO-1449 submitted with the Information Disclosure Statement filed on December 30, 2003 has been considered. The examiner further cites Ohashi et al. '864, Miyamoto et al. '834, Shibuya et al. '436, Yu '920, Popp et al. '742 and Aikawa et al. '639 which disclose arrangements for suppressing shift shock by controlling clutch pressure during gear shifts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard M. Lorence whose telephone number is (703) 308-3062. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles A. Marmor can be reached on (703) 308-0830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3681

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard M. Lorence Primary Examiner Art Unit 3681 Page 6

Lorence/rml